Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/940,941	SOGABE ET AL.
	Examin r	Art Unit
	Elizabeth Slobodyansky	1652
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Elizabeth Slobodyansky.	(3) John Kilyk (attorney).	
(2) <u>P.Achutamurthy (SPE)</u> .	(4) <u>A. Sogabe (inventor)</u> (5) S. Murayama fassigne	es ronzesen takina
	(6) H. Shi bano	te rep. Desca . The
Date of Interview: <u>15 December 2003</u> .	(7) K. Nose)	,
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representativ	/e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: pending of 11/6/03.		
Identification of prior art discussed: none.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the examiner indicated that the 132 Declaration by Dr. Sogabe provides sufficient evidence that creatine amidinohydrolase of the invention has pl 4.5 and that the previous recitation pl 3.5 was in error.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OF FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse	he last Office action has alread PR THE MAILING DATE OF TH OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THI	y been filed, APPLICANT IS IS INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required